1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK						
2	TWOITHIN DISTRICT OF MEW TOWN						
3		X :					
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: : 11-CR-00030					
5		: :					
6	V.	: 225 Cadman Plaza East					
7		: Brooklyn, New York :					
8	Defendants	. : January 25, 2011 X					
9							
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR DETENTION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHERYL L. POLLAK						
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE						
12	APPEARANCES:						
13	For the Government:  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  BY: ELIZABETH GEDDES, ESQ.  271 Cadman Plaza East  Brooklyn, New York 11201						
14							
15							
16	Galo	RGE W. GALGANO, ESQ. Jano & Associates					
17 18		9 Knollwood Road ite Plains, New York 10603					
19	SCOTT SCRIBNIK, ESQ.						
20	Court Transcriber: RUTH	I ANN HAGER					
21	Туре	TypeWrite Word Processing Service 211 N. Milton Road					
22	Saratoga Springs, New York 12866						
23							
24							
25							
	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service						

1					2	
2						
3	INDEX					
4						
5	<u>Witness</u> <u>Exam-Court</u>	<u>Direct</u>	Cross	<u>Redirect</u>	Recross	
6	<b>GOVERNMENT:</b>					
7	Scott Curtis	23	54			
8	T	хніві	. ш. с			
9			. 1 5	TD	T37.7	
10		<u>iption</u>		ID.	EV.	
11	PLAINTIFF:			1.0	2.2	
12	1 Letters in Support			18	22	
13	2 Article			18	22	
14	3 List of Sureters			59		
15						
16	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:					
17	For the Government	52				
18	For the Defendant Russo	54				
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

```
3
   (Proceedings began at 12:17 p.m.)
1
 2
              THE CLERK: Andrew Russo. Thank you. Andrew Russo,
 3
   please come forward. Okay. Criminal cause for detention
   hearing, USA v. Andrew Russo, 11-CR-00030. Counsel?
 4
 5
             MS. GEDDES: Elizabeth Geddes for the Government.
   Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 8
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Scott
9
   Scribnik [Ph.]. I'm here from Miami on behalf of Mr. Russo.
10
             MR. GALGANO: Good afternoon, Judge -- or good
11
   morning, rather. George Galgano. I'm also appearing for Mr.
12
   Russo.
13
             THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, are you admitted to this
14
   court?
15
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes, ma'am.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Great. Then you filed a notice
17
   of appearance?
18
             MR. SCRIBNIK: I filed a notice of limited
19
    appearance for purposes of this bail hearing.
20
             THE COURT: I see. Okay. All right.
21
             Mr. Russo, I take it that you understand English?
22
              THE DEFENDANT: I hope so, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. If at any point something is said
24
   that you don't understand, please tell me, okay?
25
             THE DEFENDANT: I will, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: All right. So we're here today for a detention hearing. What's the Government's position?

MS. GEDDES: The Government seeks a permanent order of detention as to Andrew Russo, the defendant. The defendant is the current street boss of the Colombo crime family. He was captured on several recordings in which he vocalized his current position and he has been taken — since his assumption of this position back in March of this year, he has taken numerous steps to "put the family back together again." Stemming back from the early 1990s there was an internal war between the Colombo family — two factions of the Colombo family which left it somewhat fragmented.

Andrew Russo in the past several months has been reaching out to the various members of the Colombo crime family who are not currently incarcerated or at that time were not currently incarcerated to try and get them to pledge their commitment to the Colombo family. He has been captured on consensual recordings indicating that any individual who does not demonstrate his commitment to come back into the family will be placed on his list of enemies, that he would share that list with other organized crime families, and that he would indicate that anyone in other organized crime families who are associated with those individuals who did not come back from the Colombo crime family would also be placed on his list of enemies.

In his position as the street boss of the Colombo crime family he has numerous captains, members, and associates to carry out the violent criminal activities of the Colombo family. He has shown himself to be a very involved boss meeting frequently with his captains indicating that he wants to get involved in the ins and outs of what these individuals are doing. And as demonstrated in the indictment members and associates of the Colombo crime family continue to commit numerous violent crimes in an effort towards their ultimate goal of making money for the family.

In light of the defendant's position as the street boss of the family and the resources that he has at his disposal he, the Government submits, poses a significant danger to the community. The Government is certainly satisfied with the bail packet that's my understanding the defendant will submit is sufficient to guarantee his appearance in court. What's the Government's argument is that the defendant does, in fact, pose a danger, that he will not and cannot abide by the conditions of release.

There are additional notes set forth in the detention memo filed by the Government but I think there are a few interesting additions included in that memorandum and one Mr. Russo was caught on tape stating, "I simply cannot rest. I cannot let it go." I think he believes or I submit that he believes based on the recording that he is one of the last

individuals out there who has the capability to protect the interests of the Colombo family and perhaps his family's involvement in the Colombo family, his blood relatives' involvement.

For those reasons and the reasons set forth in the Government's attention memorandum the Government submits that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that could protect the safety of the community should Mr. Russo be released on a bail package pending trial.

THE COURT: What is the basis of the Government's proffer that Mr. Russo has had a hand in any of the criminal activities that are charged in the indictment that he's ordered them or was aware of them or he directed that may be conducted? He is captured on the consensual recording discussing with his captains one particular extortion that is charged in the indictment. That's the extortion of the Gambino crime family as it related to a Colombo family associate who had been stabbed by an individual who was affiliated with the Gambino crime family.

On other consensual recordings his captains were captured stating how Andrew Russo had directed them to reach out to particular individuals that -- Andrew Russo is also captured on the recording stating that it's very important that the administration -- which includes, of course, Andrew Russo, that the administration be kept apprised of any

7 situations pertaining to other organized crime families. 1 2 There are numerous of such situations referenced in the 3 indictment. He has shown himself on these consensual recordings based on his words as well as his co-conspirator's 4 words to be very involved in a situation in the affairs of the 5 family and to keep tabs on his captains. 6 7 He's also captured on recordings directing the 8 captains to reach out to different individuals in an attempt to collect money and other potentially lucrative ventures for 9 10 the Colombo -- illegal lucrative ventures for the Colombo 11 crime family. THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the 12 13 defendants, Counsel. 14 MR. GALGANO: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please 15 the Court, I believe the Court is mindful that obviously any pretrial detention hearing involves very serious stakes for 16 17 the defendant. I believe this one is extraordinarily serious 18 and that's because Mr. Russo is 76 years old. There are 39 19 defendants charged in this case. It's a 60-count indictment. 20 I don't think it's unfair to assume that it will take a long 21 time for this case to get to trial given conflicting 22 schedules, et cetera, and I think under those circumstances if 23 the Government is wrong about Mr. Russo and the Court accepts 24 the Government's proffer in this case, pretrial detention of a 25 man presumed innocent would threaten to rob him of a

8 significant portion of the rest of his life. That's why this 1 2 case is particularly serious and this detention request is 3 That's why family and friends are here in the courtroom -- flooding the courtroom to support Mr. Russo. 4 That's why they are here to present a bail package that the 5 6 Government has already conceded as far as flight is concerned 7 is a sufficient bail package and that would be a package in 8 excess of four million dollars. The people who are here in this courtroom include a 9 10 contingent of people who are legally deaf and the reason they 11 are here, Your Honor, because Mr. Russo has basically spent a large part of his life helping people who are deaf get jobs, 12 13 fill out forms. He learned sign language so he could help the 14 deaf because he met somebody who was deaf and then it became 15 part of his mission. 16 I would ask the court to allow one person who is an 17 interpreter to actually face the rest of the people and allow 18 her perhaps from that area back there to use sign language so 19 that they could hear what is going on in court. 20 THE COURT: Well --21 MR. GALGANO: Her name is Tabitha Bobbick [Ph.]. 22 THE COURT: Just for the record, she's been doing it 23 during the entire time that we're here and that's fine. 24 MR. GALGANO: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Thank 25 you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

9 I don't want to presume anything about the Court's review of the indictments so I would like to take a couple of minutes to just go through it with the Court because there are so many defendants including another individual named Russo, and I want to be very specific about what Andrew Russo is charged with. He is charged -- out of the 60 counts he is charged in three of the counts. Does the Court happen to have a copy of the indictment? THE COURT: I do. MR. GALGANO: Okay. If the Court would turn to page 51-52, those two pages. That is where the Government alleges the RICO conspiracy. That's the first account that he is charged in. And of all the racketeering acts that the Government is alleged to have -- that Mr. Russo committed there are two that name him individually, that's Racketeering Act 16 and Racketeering Act number 23. Both of those Racketeering Acts are themselves conspiracies, not actual substantive conduct, but conspiracies. So if the Government has essentially charged in Count II is that Mr. Russo engaged or participated in a RICO conspiracy to commit underlying conspiracies because those are the only two that name him individually -- Racketeering Act 16 23 is at page 71 of the indictment. In that Act the Government alleges that Mr. Russo combined, conspired, et cetera, to obtain property money

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 belonging to the Gambino family and that's the incident that the Government referred to earlier. That was a situation in which an individual was stabbed and friends of that individual were trying to help that person obtain money for medical expenses. The person is in a wheelchair. He was stabbed and left paralyzed and the allegation is that Mr. Russo participated in an effort to extort money from the Gambino family in order to pay the medical expenses of this individual. That's the allegation. I will get to the details of that in a moment. The second racketeering act, conspiracy charged in Count II is Racketeering Act Number 23 and that is at page 79, Your Honor, and that involves a conspiracy to collect a debt from a John Doe number 16, not the actual collection of a debt, not actual extortion but another agreement to extort. That's -- those are the only two acts under Count II that specifically name Mr. Russo. The next two counts that name Mr. Russo are Count 41 at page 107, which is the actual conspiracy extortion involving the Gambino family. So what I just argued was the Racketeering Act is now transferred into an actual count. That's Count 41. And Count 49 is the conspiracy to collect the debt from John Doe number 16. So notwithstanding the 100 or so pages of this indictment and notwithstanding the 39 defendants and 60 counts we really have two incidents after

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 March of 2010, one involving an effort, a conspiracy, and an agreement allegedly to collect a debt from the Gambino -- to collect money from the Gambino family and another one was to collect the debt from some unidentified John Doe number 16. Why is this all important, Judge? Why is it important that this is all conspiratorial or allegations of conspiracy? Number one, there is no statutory presumption of dangerousness in this case. There's no mandatory minium so we're not here in the same situation as the prior defendant. There is no statutory presumption. There's no evidence, not a shred of evidence of actual violence. This man is 76 years old. They know him and in 76 years they don't come up with -they can't come up with one incident, one event, one shred of actual violence by Andrew Russo. No evidence he possesses a weapon or has possessed a weapon. No evidence he directed any violence. No evidence he's harmed anyone. No evidence in this indictment of any conduct whatsoever. The only evidence that the Government refers to is their own proffer of recorded conversations involving words. We made a request yesterday through Mister -- Mr. Galgano made a request of the proffer for those transcripts because we know that the Government hasn't provided the full context to the Those transcripts are not yet available. We were told

that they would be available within the week.

So we're operating at a bit of a disadvantage here

because we can't put those words that they're claiming come from the transcript into context, so obviously we don't believe the Government has met its burden here today. That's why we want to go forward within his right to have a detention hearing, but certainly we want to be able to look at those transcripts and see what those transcripts actually say to put them into context.

These are words that they take. They're portrayed as a conspiracy. They put him at the top of the indictment. Everybody else is alphabetical. Call him a street boss and asked for detention. The best evidence, Judge, that no conspiracy existed, no agreement existed is that none of the conduct that they alleged materialized. There's no evidence — they presented no evidence that the Gambino family made this payment that they're alleging. No evidence of any retaliation. No evidence anyone was harmed. No evidence that any debt was actually collected from this John Doe number 16.

The best evidence of a conspiracy is that the conduct actually materialized. There is no conduct in this case. They just take words on tapes that we need to review, but right now those words in and of themselves don't carry the Government's burden of clear and convincing evidence that he's a danger to the community. The Government's theory is that Mr. Russo became a street boss after March of 2010 and he has been out -- released for three or four years from custody.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 They say he became the street boss in March of 2010. This is an allegation. No one has said it under oath. These are excerpts from conversations. I don't believe he said those words. When they say Mr. Russo, they're referencing to him being the boss, the ones they quote in their memo are reference to "the guy" and the Government puts in brackets "Andrew Russo." I didn't see any quote in the tape of any individual saying Andrew Russo is "the guy." There is a danger of pretrial detention based on inferences and hearsay that are so weak and I want to recount for the Court that this type of inference upon inference has caused detriment to Mr. Russo in the past. There's a Second Circuit opinion called Russo v. Hasty, which is at 173 F.3d 846, in which the Second Circuit reversed a sentence by the Parole Commission that was based on misinformation that Mr. Russo was the boss or the acting boss of the Colombo family. And the Second Circuit said based on Judge Hurley's statement that he may have been misinformed and the Parole Commission may have been misinformed, the Second Circuit reversed. That's not clear and convincing evidence of danger but yet the inference upon inference and hearsay where we don't see any of the transcripts runs the risk of doing the same thing that happened to him ten years ago.

There are hundreds of hours of recorded conversations according to the Government's detention memo.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

Where is the clear and convincing evidence that he has done -has ordered anybody harm, that he's harmed anyone, that he's ordered an assault, that he has actually collected money from anybody? This would not get past a Rule 29 if this were the evidence that the Government would present in a criminal case. Context is important. I want to get the issue that the only real meeting that they talk about in the detention memo which is this meeting that Mr. Russo pre -- allegedly attended where there were other friends of an individual named Sam Perry [Ph.] who had been stabbed, the man is in a wheelchair as a result. Apparently he is incapacitated. He needed money for medical bills and apparently the tapes will show that there was a discussion about seeing if the State of New York would pay for this individual's medical bills. My understanding is that there's no evidence that Mr. Russo said let's use violence against the Gambino family or let's -- we're not going to retaliate if they pay.

Apparently there was some discussion from what I understand the Government is alleging about resolving the matter and getting medical bills paid -- or getting bills paid without violence. So, you know, while the Government wants to portray it as a meeting where Mr. Russo was approached about this because he's a wise guy, I think the more accurate description of this is he was approached because he is a wise man and violence, if there was any even contemplated, was

avoided and there was no violence, no evidence of any violence as a result of this alleged meeting that the Government talks about.

In the Government's memo they cite to the boss cases that I'm sure the Court is familiar with because the Court presided over the Gotti decision. Certainly when I read those I worry that it might have superficial appeal because there are a number of decisions out of the District Court and the Second Circuit that deal with these street boss and acting boss cases and allow detention under those cases. I think the Court ought to know the real -- the distinction -- the important distinctions between this case and those cases so I would like to go through a few of those cases for the Court.

U.S. v. Cirillo is a case that the Government cites that this individual was the actual boss of the -- of one of the families. There were seven made members. This is -- I'm sorry. This is 05-212 affirmed at 149 Federal Appendix 40, U.S. v. Cirillo, C-I-R-I-L-O. In that case, according to the opinion there were seven made members who provided statements to law enforcement identifying Cirillo as the acting boss. There was a list of proposed Genovese members found on Cirillo's kitchen table upon his arrest. Expert witness testified at the bond hearing that the boss has a role in reviewing the proposed inductees and that would explain the list of proposed members on Mr. Cirillo's desk and there were

surveillance photos.

U.S. v. Gotti, which is at 219 Fed. Supp. 2d 296, affirmed at 312, F.3d 535. This is the case involving Peter Gotti where the Government presented testimony, transcripts, surveillance records, photos, et cetera, documenting Mr. Gotti as the acting boss. The defendant did not seriously dispute it according to the opinion. There was expert testimony. There was a proffer that Peter Gotti represented the family at meetings with other organized crime families. There was a proffer that secret proceeds from the extortion made their way to Mr. Gotti. There was evidence in that case according to the proffer that Peter Gotti attempted to surreptitiously contact his brother in prison. The Court is familiar with that evidence. No such evidence in this case.

U.S. v. Defitti, which is at 7 Fed. Supp. 2d 390,

U.S. v. Defitti, which is at 7 Fed. Supp. 2d 390, there was evidence that the individual had been the acting boss for four to five years, evidence that the individual through the proffer made frequent visits to the prison to meet with the boss and receive instructions. A number of confidential sources identified Mr. Defitti as the acting boss. One confidential source informed the FBI that he was — that Defitti was the go-between or the actual boss. There were circumstantial evidence linking Defitti to the collection of extortion payments from the garment center and sworn testimony about how the payments were delivered coupled with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which exists in this case.

surveillance. Those are three leading cases that the Government cites and there are other cases that involve violence, actual harm, actual injury, actual threats, none of

17

The distinctions here are stark. If he is the street boss where is the one shred of evidence that he received any money, where he followed the money, where is the evidence that he received in the last nine months that he was the so-called "street boss" even a penny from the efforts of the alleged family? Where is the evidence of any meetings or communications between the alleged street boss and the acting boss and/or the boss as existed in the other cases. I've heard nothing of the sort here. There's no sworn testimony, no complete transcripts, no affidavits, excerpts of a few conversations devoid of context. And the only incident the evidence will show at trial is that this meeting that they're talking about there was an effort to obtain medical expenses for a person who had been stabbed in an incident. If the Government's evidence is to be believed that evidence will show that Mr. Russo was not a wise guy; he was a wise man in how this matter was handled.

Positives, Judge. Seventy-six-year-old man. He's a U.S. citizen. Never lived outside the United States. He has a wife who is here, Ruth; a daughter who is here; he has nine grandchildren, seven great grandchildren; no ties outside the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit 1.

18 United States. All his ties to Long Island. Never missed a court date. Sureties are here in court and we have a substantial contingent of the deaf community who is here. One individual would like to communicate with the Court, with the Court's permission, about his experience with Mr. Russo what a kind person he is, not the person that the Government was described. And we have number of letters, over 30 letters that have been written. We apologize for giving in to the Court now but it's been a mad rush in the last three days to get these together. We'll provide a copy obviously to the Government. May I hand them to the Court? THE COURT: Of course. MR. GALGANO: One of whom is from the individual James Caan, whom the Court I'm sure is familiar with, talking about his friendship with Mr. Russo extending back many years. I also want to hand to the Court an excerpt of an article or actually the article from -- I don't have the date but it was a number of years ago while Mr. Russo was a defendant in a Federal Court trial in which he jumped in the bay to rescue two men and there was an article written about it, about his heroic efforts to save somebody. Can I mark that actually as an exhibit for purposes of this bail hearing? THE COURT: Why don't you mark it as Exhibit 2 and we'll mark all of the letters that you just handed up as

```
19
          (Exhibits 1 and Russo-2 marked for identification.)
1
 2
              MR. GALGANO: Very good. I'll just style it Russo
3
    Exhibit 2, if that's okay.
              THE COURT: Yep.
                                That's fine.
 4
              MR. GALGANO: May I hand it to the Court?
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Please. Do you have a copy for the
7
    Government?
 8
              MS. GEDDES: I have just received a copy.
9
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
10
              MR. GALGANO: There is also an award presented to
11
   him from the Pelicans Club of the Deaf, but rather than
    present the award to the Court I think it would be better if
12
13
    the Court would just hear from an individual who is legally
    deaf and who Mr. Russo has helped not only him but many, many
14
15
    other individuals and he would be better to describe it than
16
    I.
17
              THE COURT: Well, let me just -- I mean, before we
18
    do that I guess my concern is the following. The Government
19
    is moving on danger grounds, correct?
20
              MS. GEDDES: Correct.
21
              THE COURT: And I -- as much as I understand that a
22
    proffer is sufficient I don't find your proffer sufficiently
23
    specific enough in this case to hold this defendant on the
24
    Peter Gotti type of detention order. So what I am going to
25
    ask is whether or not the Government is prepared to put on
```

```
20
    evidence in the form of witnesses and/or tape-recordings,
1
2
    anything else to further substantiate the proffer that you've
 3
   made today.
              MS. GEDDES: Yes, Judge. The Government is
 4
 5
   prepared. I would ask if we could just have a day to clip the
    excerpts or even, I guess, play them this afternoon. Could
 6
7
   probably have the excerpts clipped for the Court and provide
 8
    various recordings how much the defendant's position in the
    Colombo crime family is vividly shown.
9
10
              THE COURT: Well, I think I would prefer to put it
11
    on for later this afternoon. We'll take a lunch recess and
    we'll put it on for -- Senay, what do we have on for this
12
13
    afternoon?
14
              THE CLERK: Well, we just -- why don't we put it all
15
    at two, you know?
16
              THE COURT: I'm just not sure that two o'clock is
17
    going to give the Government enough time. That's what I'm
18
    saying.
19
              THE CLERK: 3:30.
20
              THE COURT: Three o'clock?
21
              THE CLERK:
                         3:30. Okay. Yeah, 3:30.
22
              THE COURT: 3:30, counsel. We'll put this over for
23
    second call.
24
              MR. GALGANO: Your Honor, may I ask through the
25
    Court that the Government provide us with the actual
```

```
21
1
    transcripts?
 2
              THE COURT: Of course.
 3
              MS. GEDDES: We don't have transcripts to provide,
   but we'll provide copies of the report -- excerpts of the
 4
 5
   recordings.
              MR. GALGANO: Well, just so we're clear, Judge, when
 6
7
    they say "excerpts" do you mean precisely --
 8
              THE COURT: Are you going to play them?
9
              MS. GEDDES: Yes, Judge.
10
              THE COURT: She's going to play them so we can hear
11
    them.
12
              MS. GEDDES: Okay.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
14
              MR. GALGANO: So there are no transcripts are
15
    available at this point? Is that --
16
              MS. GEDDES: That's correct.
17
              MR. GALGANO: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. All right.
19
              MS. GEDDES: Thank you.
20
              THE COURT: So we'll put this on for second call.
21
              (Off the record.)
22
              THE CLERK: Okay. This is second call on USA v.
23
    Andrew Russo for detention hearing, 11-CR-30.
24
              Counsel, please state your name again for the
25
    record.
```

```
22
              MR. GALGANO: George Galgano.
 1
 2
              THE CLERK: [Inaudible] the bottom. Is it green?
 3
              MR. GALGANO: It's green now.
              THE CLERK:
                          Thank you.
 4
 5
              MR. GALGANO:
                            George --
 6
              THE CLERK: State your name for the record.
 7
              MR. GALGANO: George Galgano for Andrew Russo.
 8
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Scott
9
    Scribnik for Mr. Russo as well.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. We put this
11
    on for second call to allow the Government to put on
12
    additional evidence in support of your request to have the
13
    defendant held based on danger to the community. Before I
14
    forget because I'm concerned that I will forget this, during
15
    the break I did review all of the letters that have been
    submitted to the Court by the defendant in support of his
16
17
    application as well as defendant's Exhibit 2, which was the
18
    article relating to the sea rescue and I will take that all
19
    into consideration to the final arguments.
20
              But I wanted to note that I've received a request
21
    from the press for release of these letters. Does anyone have
22
    any objection? Then they are part of the Court record
23
    formally.
24
                   (Exhibits 1 and 2 are admitted.)
25
             MR. GALGANO: No, Your Honor.
```

```
Curtis - Direct
                                                                 23
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that will be made
1
2
    available to the press at the conclusion of the proceedings.
 3
              Okay. All right. Let me hear from the Government
   with respect to your additional evidence. Whatever you wish
 4
 5
    to proceed with.
              MS. GEDDES: Yes, Your Honor. The Government calls
 6
7
    Special Agent Scott Curtis.
8
              THE CLERK: Okay. Mr. Curtis, please raise your
9
    right hand.
10
                          SCOTT CURTIS, Sworn
11
              THE CLERK: Thank you. Your name for the record
12
    again.
13
              THE WITNESS: Scott Curtis, C-U-R-T-I-S.
14
              THE CLERK: Thank you.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Counsel, please.
16
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MS. GEDDES:
17
18
   Q.
         By whom are you employed?
19
         Federal Bureau of Investigation.
    Α.
20
         For how long?
    Q.
21
   Α.
         About 15 years now.
22
         And are you assigned to a particular unit or squad of the
    Q.
23
   FBI?
24
         Squad C38 of the New York office.
   Α.
25
    0.
         What is Squad C38 responsible for?
```

1 A. Investigating the criminal activities of the Colombo

- 2 organized crime family.
- 3 | Q. And how long have you been assigned to Squad C38?
- 4 A. Almost 14 years now.
- 5 | Q. Do you have information about the defendant Andrew Russo?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Directing your attention to January of 2010 -- excuse
- 8 me -- January of 2010, correct -- do you have -- or March
- 9 2010, excuse me. Do you have information about who became the
- 10 boss of the Colombo family at that time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How did you obtain that information?
- 13 A. Cooperating witness that I was operating was receiving
- 14 information for a couple months up to that point about whether
- 15 Andrew Russo was going to assume the title of street boss of
- 16 the Colombo family at that point and then once he got off
- 17 | probation in March of 2010 Anthony Russo confirmed that Andrew
- 18 was going to be the street boss.
- 19 Q. And based on the information provided from the
- 20 cooperating witness, as well as your review of consensual
- 21 | recording prepared by -- or made the cooperating witness, who
- 22 is Anthony Russo?
- 23 | A. During that period of time he was a soldier in the
- 24 | Colombo family and in June of 2010 he became the acting
- 25 | captain for Teddy Persico's crew because Teddy Persico was

- 1 arrested in March of 2010.
- 2 Q. And do you have information about a meeting that occurred
- 3 on June 29th of 2010?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Where did that meeting take place?
- 6 A. On Gaynor Street in Staten Island, New York.
- 7 Q. Did the FBI conduct surveillance of that meeting?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And have you had an opportunity to review this
- 10 | surveillance and speak with other agents who were present
- 11 during this surveillance?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Based on your conversations with other agents and the
- 14 | surveillance that you have reviewed were you able to determine
- 15 | who attended that meeting?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Who attended that meeting?
- 18 | A. Andrew Russo, Billy Russo, Benjamin Castellazzo, Ritchie
- 19 | Fusco [Ph.], Dennis Delucia, Anthony Russo, Joseph Carna, Paul
- 20 Bevacqua.
- 21 | Q. Do you see that individual you identified as Andrew Russo
- 22 | in the courtroom today?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MS. GEDDES: Indicating the defendant, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: So indicated.

26 Curtis - Direct BY MS. GEDDES: 1 2 You mentioned Billy Russo. Who is that? 3 Andrew's son. Α. And were you able to determine based on your review of 4 5 consensual reporting as well as your debriefing of cooperating witnesses what position if any Billy Russo held in the Colombo 6 7 family in June of 2010? 8 He was a captain in the Colombo family. How about Dennis Delucia, who you also referenced at 9 Q. 10 attending that meeting. 11 He's a captain in the Colombo family. Α. 12 Joseph Carna? Q. 13 Captain in the Colombo family. Α. 14 Ritchie Fusco. 0. 15 He's the consigliere of the Colombo family. 16 Benjamin Castellazzo. Q. The younger boss of the Colombo family. 17 Α. 18 Q. Paul Bevacqua. 19 MR. SCRIBNIK: Your Honor, if I may just object and 20 get a predicate. Is this his expert opinion or is this based 21 on information that he has learned through witnesses giving 22 him testimony or giving him statements, et cetera? Well, I 23 can approach him on --

THE COURT: Well, you'll have an opportunity to

cross-examine him. I think her predicate question put that

24

```
Curtis - Direct
                                                                  27
   very clearly, but you can review the transcript. Go ahead.
1
 2
   BY MS. GEDDES:
         Benjamin Castellazzo.
 3
   0.
         Yes.
 4
   Α.
         Based on the information provided by cooperating
 5
   witnesses to -- as well as your review of consensual
 6
7
   recordings, who is Benjamin Cast -- or what position, if any,
 8
    did Benjamin Castellazzo hold in the Colombo family as of June
9
    2010?
         The acting underboss of the Colombo family.
10
   Α.
11
         I'm now going to play a clip from a portion of that
12
    meeting. Have you had an opportunity to listen to at least
13
    excerpts of the recording made on June 29, 2010, of the
14
   meeting at Gaynor Street?
15
         Yes.
16
              THE COURT: This is an audio recording, I take it?
17
              THE WITNESS: It's a consensual recording, Judge.
18
              MS. GEDDES: It is an audio recording.
19
              THE WITNESS: It's an audio consensual.
20
    (Audio recording played.)
              MR. SCRIBNIK: I don't know if it's clear to the
21
22
    Court but it's not to the [inaudible].
23
              THE COURT: I'm only picking up snatches of it.
24
    Perhaps the --
25
              THE WITNESS: I think it's a little too loud.
```

Curtis - Direct 28 close. Maybe pull it back a little. 1 2 (Audio recording played.) 3 MS. GEDDES: Let me [inaudible] clear the recording is much easier to hear with headphones. I'm happy to provide 4 a copy to the Court and to defense counsel, again, so 5 everybody can listen with headphones. 6 7 BY MS. GEDDES: 8 However, Special Agent Curtis, have you had an opportunity to review the recording with the assistance of 9 10 headphones? 11 Yes, several times. Can you please describe to the Court what transpired 12 13 during that portion of the meeting? MR. SCRIBNIK: I'm going to object to that, Judge. 14 15 I mean, if there's a recording and it's not audible or the Government is unable to play it --16 17 THE WITNESS: It's audible with headphones. 18 MR. SCRIBNIK: I understand, but I don't think it's 19 necessary to call a witness to testify as to the substance of 20 a conversation. 21 THE COURT: Well, the Government can put evidence on 22 via proffer without tapes at all, but your colleague there 23 made a very persuasive argument this morning that the 24 information provided was fairly vague so that's why I called 25 for this hearing. If you want, we can put this over till

tomorrow and I will listen to these tapes this evening, but I'm not going to sit here and listen to them in the courtroom for heaven only knows how long tonight.

The alternative is to allow the witness to summarize his review of the tapes and if you want to challenge his summary I'll certainly allow you to do that on cross-examination. You all have had at least a brief opportunity to hear it outside. I haven't heard it at all so, you know, I propose that we either proceed and allow the agent to testify, which I probably would do anyway, and then see where we are or we could just put this whole thing over till tomorrow which gives everybody more time to proceed.

The problem is that I'm not going to be here tomorrow so that complicates matters, you know, so --

MR. SCRIBNIK: Your Honor, if the Government is intent on having him continue to testify the problem is I do want to listen to the tape because I can't confront his summary without having an opportunity to really listen to them carefully with headphones. We had an opportunity outside to listen to them. I only got to hear one snippet with headphones and I really couldn't hear it well, so it's impossible for me to challenge his summary without having an opportunity to look at -- listen to the tape and read a transcript.

THE COURT: Well, then I suggest, Counsel, that we

30

1 just put it over for tomorrow. Everyone will have some time

2 | tonight to review things and I will alert Judge Mann this

3 evening that this is going to happen tomorrow and she'll be

4 given an opportunity to listen to the tapes tonight.

5 MR. SCRIBNIK: Your Honor, my client wishes to

6 proceed now. I'm -- I have a catch a plane tonight. I've got

7 | a matter tomorrow in Miami, so I don't want my schedule to

8 interfere with Mr. Russo. He wants to proceed now, so that's

9 his wish.

10 THE COURT: All right. He understands that you're

11 not going to have an opportunity to listen to the tapes

12 tonight, right? Okay. All right.

Go ahead.

14 BY MS. GEDDES:

- 15 | Q. Actually, Agent Curtis, could you please transcribe to
- 16 | the Court what transpired during this meeting that was
- 17 | consensually recorded and that you've had an opportunity to
- 18 review?
- 19 A. Andrew Russo was introducing -- or introduced Anthony
- 20 Russo as the acting captain for Teddy Persico at this meeting
- 21 to all the other captains in the administration of the Colombo
- 22 family.
- 23 Q. Let me interject for one moment. Who -- based on your
- 24 review of the meeting who was presiding over this meeting?
- 25 A. Andrew Russo.

1 Q. And during the excerpt that we just played for the Court

- 2 | as garbled as it may have initially appeared what specifically
- 3 occurred then immediately before Andrew Russo introduced
- 4 | Anthony Russo as an acting captain?
- 5 A. He -- Andrew gave out instructions to everybody about
- 6 getting in and out of the meeting location quickly and
- 7 efficiently so that surveillance could not pick up on them and
- 8 that anything that was discussed within that meeting there
- 9 | would not be discussed outside the meeting.
- 10 | Q. And based on your knowledge and experience in this
- 11 investigation and as an agent assigned to investigating the
- 12 affairs of the Colombo family what is your opinion regarding
- 13 | Andrew Russo's position in the Colombo family based on a
- 14 review of the meeting?
- 15 A. That he was in charge of the Colombo family.
- 16 Q. Directing your attention to September 9th of 2010 did the
- 17 | FBI conduct surveillance of a location at Staten Island by
- 18 that date?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Where was that surveillance conducted?
- 21 A. On Finlay Street in Staten Island.
- 22 Q. And based on your review of -- have you had an
- 23 opportunity to review some of the surveillance that was taken
- 24 on that day?
- $25 \mid A$ . Yes, I was there also.

1 | Q. And did you also have an opportunity to discuss what

- 2 other agents of the FBI observed on that day?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Based on your discussions with other agents as well as
- 5 | your own surveillance who were you able to determine attended
- 6 | that meeting?
- 7 | A. Andrew Russo, Benjamin Castellazzo, Ritchie Fusco, Dennis
- 8 Delucia, Reynold Maragni, Anthony Russo, Joseph Savarese, and
- 9 I believe there was a couple others.
- 10 Q. You mentioned Reynold Maragni. Based on the information
- 11 | that you've obtained from cooperating witnesses as well as
- 12 your review of consensual recordings what position, if any,
- 13 does Reynold Maragni hold in the Colombo family?
- 14 A. He's a captain in the Colombo family.
- 15 Q. And, again, the other individuals that you referenced
- 16 what generally was their position in the Colombo family?
- 17 A. They were all made members of the Colombo family.
- 18 Q. And with the exception of Joseph Savarese did those
- 19 individuals hold ranking positions in the Colombo family?
- 20 A. Yes. Joseph Savarese was a soldier. Everybody else was
- 21 | a captain or above.
- 22 Q. And did a cooperating witness have an opportunity to
- 23 drive an individual to or from that meeting?
- 24 A. Yes.
- $25 \mid Q$ . Who did the cooperating witness drive to the meeting?

1 A. Anthony Russo. Drove Anthony Russo there, then he drove

- 2 | Anthony -- then he drove Reynold Maragni away from the
- 3 | location first, and then he drove Anthony Russo and Benjamin
- 4 | Castellazzo away from the location.
- 5 | Q. And have you had an opportunity to review the recording
- 6 | that the cooperating witness made on that day?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And have you also had an opportunity to debrief the
- 9 | witness who made that recording on that day?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Based on your debriefing of the witness as well as your
- 12 review of the consensual recording what did you learn
- 13 transpired during that meeting? And to be clear did the
- 14 | cooperating witness attend the meeting?
- 15 A. No, he was sitting in his vehicle down the block.
- 16 Q. What did you learn transpired at that meeting?
- 17 | A. That there was some kind of dispute between Andrew Russo
- 18 and Reynold Maragni where Reynold Maragni was told to leave
- 19 the meeting because of a couple problems that Andrew Russo had
- 20 | learned dealing with -- related to Reynold Maragni. And then
- 21 | when Benjamin Castellazzo and Anthony Russo exited the meeting
- 22 and the cooperating witness was transporting them, they
- 23 discussed a couple of individuals whose names were brought up
- 24 as possibly being proposed for membership in the Colombo
- 25 | family and also discussed a beef or a dispute involving Roger

1 | Califano.

2

- Q. And who is Roger Califano?
- 3 A. He's an associate of the Colombo family.
- 4 | Q. And based on your debrief of the property witness as well
- 5 as your review of the consensual recording were you able to
- 6 determine who at that time -- in September of 2010 who at that
- 7 | time was the boss of the Colombo family yet?
- 8 | A. Andrew Russo was the street boss of the Colombo family.
- 9 Q. And just for clarification can you explain the difference
- 10 between the street boss and the official boss or acting boss?
- 11 A. The official boss of the Colombo family is still Carmine
- 12 Persico but he's in prison for 100-year sentence. His son
- 13 | Alphonse Persico was designated as the acting boss for his
- 14 father. He is now in prison for life. Therefore, they need a
- 15 boss that's not incarcerated and has the ability to interact
- 16 with not only the Colombo family but with other families to
- 17 | mediate disputes and run the family. That individual in March
- $18 \mid \text{of } 2010$  was designated as Andrew Russo at that point.
- 19 Q. And why was Andrew Russo designated in March of 2010?
- 20 Was there anything significant about that date?
- 21 A. That's when he got off federal probation.
- 22 MS. GEDDES: I'm now going to play one additional
- 23 excerpt.
- 24 BY MS. GEDDES:
- 25 | Q. I'm going to direct your attention to May 11th of 2010.

- 1 | Have you reviewed a recording that was made by a cooperating
- 2 | witness on that date?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And do you recall who was present on that date?
- 5 A. Andrew Russo, Billy Russo, Paul Bevacqua, Ralph Scopo,
- 6 Frank Sorrentino.
- 7 MS. GEDDES: I'm now going to play an excerpt from
- 8 that recording.
- 9 (Audio recording played.)
- 10 BY MS. GEDDES:
- 11 Q. Could you please describe to the Court what was just
- 12 played?
- 13 A. Ralph Scopo arrived at the location. Paul Bevacqua
- 14 introduced him to Andrew Russo -- introduces Andrew Russo as
- 15 the boss of the Colombo family to Ralph Scopo.
- 16 Q. What was that specific terminology that Bevacqua used in
- 17 | introducing Andrew Russo?
- 18 A. The representante.
- 19 Q. And what do you understand "representante" to be?
- 20 A. Boss.
- 21 Q. And how do you make that determination?
- 22 A. From research I've done on that term.
- 23 Q. And when Andrew Russo can be heard on the recordings
- 24 accepting congratulations what did you understand that to be?
- 25 A. That Ralph was accepting him as the boss of the family.

Curtis - Direct - Cross 36 1 Was accepting who? Q. 2 Andrew. Α. 3 MS. GEDDES: The Government has no further questions for this witness. 4 5 THE COURT: Counsel? 6 MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. SCRIBNIK: 8 Q. Good afternoon, Agent Curtis. I want to follow-up on 9 your discussion of the term "street boss," okay? You 10 11 testified that according to the FBI the official boss right now is Mr. Persico? 12 13 Bottom line is Persico is the official boss of the Colombo family and has been for a number of years. 14 15 And I believe you also testified -- and does he remain 16 the official boss to this day? 17 Until the Commission takes him down, yes. Α. 18 Q. Okay. And according to the FBI I think you said that 19 Allie Persico is the acting boss? 20 Yes. Α. 21 And according to you does he remain the acting boss to Q. 22 this day? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Now, are you involved in other prosecutions in this 25 district involving alleged members of the Colombo family?

Curtis - Cross 37 1 Yes. Α. 2 Are you involved with Ms. Geddes, the prosecutor in the 3 prosecution of an individual named Tommy Gioeli? 4 Α. Yes. Are you the case agent in that case? 5 Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Are you aware, sir, that Ms. Geddes has represented in a 8 pleading that Tommy Gioeli is the family's acting boss? He was the street boss and his reign ended the minute he 9 10 got arrested. 11 I'm going to repeat the question. Is there a difference between an "acting boss" and a "street boss"? 12 13 Α. Yes. I'm going to show you a document that I would ask the 14 15 Court to take judicial notice of. It is filed in case number 16 08-CR-0240, <u>U.S. v. Tommy Gioeli</u>, document 74-1. 17 MR. SCRIBNIK: May I approach the agent? 18 THE COURT: What's the date on that document, 19 Counsel? 20 MR. SCRIBNIK: Date of the document is June 23, 2008. 21 22 THE COURT: 2008. 23 MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. BY MR. SCRIBNIK: 25

- 1 Q. Now, I assume Mr. Allie Persico has remained the acting
- 2 boss throughout the entire period that you've been assigned to
- 3 the Colombo family.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Let me show you a -- this document that I just identified
- 6 and ask you if you recognize the document as a memo of law in
- 7 | support of the Government's motion for detention of Thomas
- 8 | Gioeli.
- 9 A. That's what it says. It's the first time I've seen it.
- 10 Q. Okay. Well, do you know that Ms. Geddes is the
- 11 prosecutor in that case?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you worked with her on both cases, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Take a look at page 5 and I ask you to read this to
- 16 | yourself and I ask you to confirm that according to the
- 17 Government in 2008 Mr. Gioeli was the acting boss.
- 18 A. But it says acting boss or street boss. And a lot of
- 19 people that don't have the institutional knowledge interchange
- 20 those two terms because they don't know the difference.
- 21 | Q. Does Ms. Geddes have the institutional knowledge?
- MS. GEDDES: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Yes, sustained.
- 24 BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
- 25 Q. Do you have the institutional knowledge?

- 1 A. I believe so.
- 2 Q. Okay. So was Tommy Gioeli the acting boss or the street
- 3 boss?
- 4 A. He was the street boss. His reign ended in June 2008.
- 5 Q. Okay. May I ask you, are you familiar with the
- 6 | indictment of Mr. Gioeli?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Have you seen that document before?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. SCRIBNIK: Might I approach the witness?
- 11 THE COURT: Yes.
- 12 BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
- 13 Q. This is the indictment, superseding indictment dated July
- 14 8, 2010. That was just last year, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Six months ago. Let me show you paragraph 12 of the
- 17 | indictment which is document number 822 in case number 08-CR-
- 18 | 240 and take a look at paragraph of the indictment. Is it not
- 19 a fact that the Grand Jury and the Government has alleged that
- 20 | Tommy Gioeli was the acting boss?
- 21 | A. That's what the indictment says. Like I said people
- 22 | interchange those two terms "street boss" and "acting boss"
- 23 | because they don't have the institutional knowledge of the
- 24 specific difference.
- 25 Q. Well, but you --

- 1 A. Which I explained.
- 2 Q. Did you explain this difference to the Grand Jury in that
- 3 case?
- 4 | A. I don't recall if I was the one who testified about his
- 5 position or not.
- 6 Q. Okay. Well, do you see any mention of Tommy Gioeli being
- 7 | the street boss in that indictment?
- 8 A. Not on this page I don't see it.
- 9 Q. Do you see him referring as the acting boss, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, yes.
- 11 Q. So there were two acting bosses at the time or was there
- 12 one acting boss at the time?
- 13 A. Tommy Gioeli was out on the street. He was considered a
- 14 | boss. Specifically he was designated a street boss because he
- 15 was the only one that wasn't in jail that could run the
- 16 | Colombo family.
- 17 Q. Well, how does one become designated a street boss? Who
- 18 does the designation?
- 19 A. The boss.
- 20 Q. The boss. Okay. So in this case in order for Mr. Russo
- 21 | to be the street boss it would have had to have been
- 22 designated by Mr. Carmine Persico, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Do you monitor Mr. Persico's communications from prison?
- 25 A. I have on and off, not -- I'm not monitoring it every

- 1 day.
- 2 Q. Well, I assume the Bureau of Prisons does, correct?
- 3 A. Depends what your definition of "monitoring" is.
- 4 Q. Well, they listen to his phone calls, don't they?
- 5 A. They don't listen to every phone call. They record every
- 6 | phone call.
- 7 Q. You listen to any of those phone calls?
- 8 A. I don't think I've listened to any of his phone calls in
- 9 over ten years.
- 10 Q. Do you have any evidence as you sit there today of a
- 11 communication either written or oral from Carmine Persico
- 12 designating Mr. Russo as the street boss?
- 13 A. No.
- $14 \mid Q$ . Now, does the street boss get the spoils of being the
- 15 street boss?
- 16 A. Unfortunately, no.
- 17 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm going to object to that
- 18 | question because I don't know what that means.
- 19 MR. SCRIBNIK: I apologize, Your Honor. Let me
- 20 rephrase it.
- 21 BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
- 22 Q. Does the benefit of being the street boss mean that
- 23 | you -- the street boss earns income from the activities of the
- 24 | alleged mentors?
- 25 A. Unfortunately, most of the time he doesn't.

- 1 | Q. In this case do you have any evidence that Mr. Russo
- 2 during these nine months earned a single penny in his
- 3 activities as a street boss in your view?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Any evidence -- oh, strike that. I assume another role
- 6 of the street boss is to meet with bosses from the other
- 7 families, correct?
- 8 A. Yes. If need be, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Well, in the nine months that you claim Mr. Russo
- 10 was street boss any evidence of any meetings between Mr. Russo
- 11 and the boss of any other family?
- 12 A. Well, we did have him meeting with somebody from the
- 13 | Lucchese family administration, Neil Migliori, and then he
- 14 also made comments on tape about the fact that he did meet
- 15 with other administration members from other organized crime
- 16 | families, so yes.
- 17 Q. Any surveillance of Mr. Russo meeting with any members --
- 18 any bosses of another family in the last nine months?
- 19 A. Bosses I'm not sure because a lot of these families now
- 20 are so disrupted that they don't have one person designated as
- 21 | a boss necessarily. They have ruling panels of two, three,
- 22 | four individuals who make up the administration of their
- 23 | family so either one of them could technically be considered
- 24 | running their specific family that they were involved in.
- 25  $\mid$  Q. You don't know who the bosses are of the other families?

- 1 A. There's so disruption right now I couldn't tell you right
- 2 | this second who the bosses were, but we have intelligence of
- 3 | who was on the administration of these families over a period
- 4 of time.
- 5 Q. So you only know the street bosses of this fam -- the
- 6 | Colombo family --
- 7 A. Because I only work the Colombo family.
- 8 Q. You don't talk with other agents? You don't know who the
- 9 street bosses are of the other families?
- 10 A. I do if necessary.
- 11 Q. Now, the street boss according to the FBI meet with
- 12 street boss or does the street boss meet with somebody else?
- 13 A. He could meet with anybody in an administration of
- 14 another family or he could delegate that to his underboss of
- 15 | his consigliere.
- 16 Q. You testified earlier -- and by the way, the term "street
- 17 | boss" is that an FBI term? You guys made that up?
- 18 A. I don't know who made it up.
- 19 Q. Well, have you ever heard it on tape used, "street boss"?
- 20 A. I may have. I can't recall off the top of my head here.
- 21 | Q. That's a term you guys use, right, put in the indictment
- 22 to figure out a hierarchy, right?
- MS. GEDDES: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained. He's answered the question.
- 25 BY MR. SCRIBNIK:

44 Curtis - Cross 1 Now, you testified earlier that your knowledge or 2 information that you received that Mr. Russo is the street 3 boss came from a cooperating witness and it was confirmed by Anthony Russo and that's what I have written down in my notes 4 and --5 Came from three cooperating witnesses, two of which were 6 7 receiving their information from Anthony Russo or Joseph 8 Savarese and another individual who is a captain in the Colombo family who received that information directly from 9 10 Andrew Russo. 11 Q. Let me talk to you about -- let me ask you about these cooperating witnesses. Do they have -- do they cut deals with 12 13 the Government? MS. GEDDES: Objection. 14 15 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. MR. SCRIBNIK: Well, can we identify who they are? 16 17 THE COURT: No. 18 MS. GEDDES: Objection. BY MR. SCRIBNIK: 19 20 Do they have any prior convictions? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Let's talk about -- let's call them cooperating 23 witness number one. Tell us about his criminal record or 24 hers. 25 MS. GEDDES: Objection.

```
45
                        Curtis - Cross
              THE COURT: They have a criminal record, Counsel.
1
 2
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Okay.
 3
              THE WITNESS: All three of them have criminal
   records, yes.
 4
   BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
 5
    Q. And are all now cutting -- entering into plea agreements
 6
7
   with the Government?
 8
             MS. GEDDES: Objection.
9
              THE COURT: Sustained. Let's assume they are,
10
    Counsel. Where are we going with this?
11
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Well, we're going with attacking
    their credibility, Your Honor. I mean, that -- he's relying
12
13
    on information provided by a cooperating wit --
14
              THE COURT: This is not a trial, okay? I can't go
15
    into each -- I understand they have been convicted. They have
    probably got prior felony convictions. They probably are
16
17
    entering into some sort of an agreement with the Government.
18
    I'll take that as a given.
19
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Let's move on.
21
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes.
22
    BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
23
    Q. Now, let me take you to a meeting that you had on
24
    November 7th, I believe, of last year. Do you go visit Mr.
25
   Russo?
```

```
46
                        Curtis - Cross
1
         Yes.
    Α.
2
         And was that at his --
 3
              THE COURT: Which Mr. Russo?
              THE WITNESS: Andrew -- Andrew -- I spoke with
 4
 5
   Andrew Russo in Florida.
 6
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Okay.
7
   BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
 8
         You actually went to his apartment, correct?
    Q.
         Yeah. His condo, yes.
9
    Α.
10
    Q.
        His condo. What floor is he on?
11
              MS. GEDDES: Objection.
12
              THE WITNESS: 2511, I think the number was.
13
    BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
14
         And you went up there to visit him?
15
         I went there looking for his son Billy Russo because I
16
    had a subpoena for him and I was in Florida anyway doing other
   business so I figured I'd stop by that address because it was
17
18
    associated with Billy Russo and see if Billy was there so I
19
    could serve him with a subpoena.
20
    Q. Did you go by yourself?
              MS. GEDDES: Objection.
21
22
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Okay.
24
   BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
25
       And did you knock on his door?
```

47 Curtis - Cross 1 Yes. Α. 2 MS. GEDDES: Objection. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. Where are we going? MR. SCRIBNIK: We're going with if he believes Mr. 4 5 Russo is a danger to the community I'd like to establish that 6 the nature of the meeting, the contact, what happened at that 7 meeting. 8 MS. GEDDES: Objection. That's beyond the scope of what the agent has testified about. This hearing was to 9 10 establish the defendant's position in the Colombo family. The 11 Government has set forth other evidence establishing his 12 dangerousness. Specifically in light of his position at 13 [inaudible] family he is de facto a danger to the community. 14 MR. SCRIBNIK: I think I'm entitled to impeach his 15 conclusion that Mr. Russo is street boss by the circumstances 16 of that consensual meeting on November 7. 17 THE COURT: I'll give you a little leeway but, 18 Counsel, I'm going to cut this off at five o'clock, so you've 19 got 20 minutes. 20 MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And I really want you to get through 22 this tonight because otherwise you're going to start all over 23 tomorrow morning with Judge Mann and you're not going to be 24 here. 25 MR. SCRIBNIK: Understood. I'll be brief, Your

```
48
                         Curtis - Cross
1
   Honor.
2
   BY MR. SCRIBNIK:
 3
         This meeting -- you went by yourself, correct?
   Q.
         Yes.
 4
   Α.
 5
         Were you armed?
    Q.
 6
   Α.
         Yes.
7
    Q.
         Okay. Did you show up at his apartment?
8
   Α.
         Yes.
9
        Knock on the door?
   Q.
10
   Α.
         Yes.
11
        Did he answer?
    Q.
12
         Yes.
   Α.
13
    Q.
         Did he invite you in?
14
         Yes.
   Α.
15
    Q.
         Give you a tour of the apartment?
16
   Α.
         Yes.
17
         Treat you nicely?
    Q.
18
   Α.
         Yes.
19
         Did you feel threatened?
    Q.
20
        No.
   Α.
        Call for backup?
21
    Q.
22
        No.
    Α.
23
         You testified about a meeting on September -- or in
24
    September of 2010 and I didn't get the exact day where you
25
    said --
```

- 1 A. September 9th.
- 2 Q. September 9th. And you testified that it was a meeting
- 3 | where a confidential source drove Anthony Russo to the
- 4 meeting.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. But the confidential source was not actually in the
- 7 | meeting?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Was that a recorded meeting, then?
- 10 A. What transpired in the house and the meeting was not
- 11 | consensually recorded.
- 12 Q. Okay. So the information -- the testimony you provided
- 13 today about that particular meeting was information that you
- 14 obtained based on a briefing of this confidential source.
- 15 A. It was based on conversations that were overheard between
- 16 | Anthony Russo and Benjamin Castellazzo while he drove them
- 17 away from the meeting back to Anthony Russo's house and later
- 18 on what Anthony Russo told that witness and another witness
- 19 about what had transpired.
- 20 Q. Okay. So it's -- just so I understand what his testimony
- 21 | is your testimony is based on testimony provided to you by
- 22 | confidential sources who relayed to you conversations that
- 23 | they had with Anthony Russo about what happened at that
- 24 meeting.
- 25 A. No. It was consensually recorded.

1 Q. The conversations between the cooperating witness and

- 2 | Anthony Russo was --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- consensually recorded? Okay. Now, how many hours of
- 5 recorded conversations do you have in this case as a whole?
- 6 A. This current indictment --
- 7 Q. Rough.
- 8 A. -- here we have over 400 consensual recordings. Within
- 9 those recordings each recording could have six hours' worth of
- 10 recordings on it so I don't know what the total hours is.
- 11 Q. Okay. Over 400 recordings.
- 12 A. Over 400 numbered recordings.
- 13 Q. Over the course of how many years?
- 14 A. Two years.
- 15 | Q. And in any of those -- or in those 400 or so recordings
- 16 any statement by Andrew Russo threatening anybody?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. He testified to that already?
- 19 A. On that tape on June 29th he gives out specific
- 20 | instructions to Anthony Russo and to all the other captains
- 21 | there regarding this situation that we've brought up with
- 22 | Walter Samperi instructing them a situation -- if a situation
- 23 like this happens or somebody attacks one of us, one of our
- 24 | individuals associated with the Colombo family, we retaliate
- 25 | first. That's what we do. And then we'll sit down and we'll

Curtis - Cross 51 discuss matters after the fact. He gives out those specific 1 2 instructions. 3 Any correction to threaten anybody, sir? Name a single victim whom he directed --4 He specifically did not threaten a victim. He gives out 5 instructions. That's his position in the family to give out 6 7 guidance and instructions to his subordinates. He's 8 insulating himself. That's your opinion. 9 Q. 10 Α. Based on experience. 11 How many agents do you have working this case? Q. 12 MS. GEDDES: Objection. 13 THE COURT: What --THE WITNESS: About six or seven. 14 15 THE COURT: Counsel, when she objects --16 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 17 THE COURT: Sorry. Agent, when she objects it helps 18 if you stand up, Ms. Geddes, because you are very soft-spoken. 19 MS. GEDDES: Sorry. 20 THE COURT: He might not catch it, but I can't rule 21 on her objections if you answer before I get a chance to do 22 that. 23 MR. SCRIBNIK: May I have one moment, Your Honor? 24 THE COURT: Of course. 25 MR. SCRIBNIK: That's all I have. Thank you, Your

```
Closing Argument
                                                                 52
1
   Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anything else,
 3
   Ms. Geddes? Do you have any redirect?
              MS. GEDDES: No.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. You can step down, Agent.
 5
 6
              [Witness excused.]
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Arguments, Counsel, based on
8
    the additional information proffered by the Government?
9
              MS. GEDDES: Your Honor, the Government will
10
    begin --
11
              THE COURT: Do you want to come up, Counsel?
12
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes.
13
              THE COURT: You can stay seated if you wish, sir, or
    whatever -- wherever you're comfortable.
14
15
                  CLOSING ARGUMENT FOR THE GOVERNMENT
              MS. GEDDES: As Agent Curtis testified there's
16
17
    sufficient evidence that the defendant Andrew Russo presided
18
    over meetings of the high ranking members of the Colombo
19
    family, the captains and the member of his administration.
20
    Furthermore, there was a recording on which Andrew Russo was
21
    captured accepting congratulations when he was introduced as
22
    the representante or as Special Agent Curtis testified, the
23
    boss. Based on that, the Government submits there's been
24
    ample evidence of the defendant's position in the Colombo
25
    family and the only real challenge to that was whether or not
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there's a distinction between the acting boss or the street boss. But regardless, the evidence was clear that from March 2010 when the defendant finished his term of supervised release until the present when he was arrested he has served as the street boss of the Colombo family, a violent criminal organization.

In that capacity, the Government or the defendant has ample individuals that carry out acts of violence at the Colombo crime family and other organized crime families are notorious for doing and with which the defendant is charged. It is correct that he's only charged with a few predicate acts; however, he's charged with a racketeering conspiracy and specifically as the street boss who presided over that racketeering enterprise and in that enterprise there were numerous acts of violence for which given his position he was ultimately responsible for. Also, as presented by -- in the Government's detention memo as well as Special Agent Curtis's testimony the defendant was closely involved and interacted with this captain and others and wanted to be kept apprised of all of the affairs. In light of that there's been significant and certainly clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a danger to the community should he be released.

MR. SCRIBNIK: One second.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SCRIBNIK:

Closing Argument 54 CLOSING ARGUMENT FOR DEFENDANT 1 2 MR. SCRIBNIK: Okay. Just one correction before I 3 get to the argument. I think the prosecutor made the statement that he presided over the entire racketeering. I 4 don't remember exact words, act or acts and the indictment I 5 believe charges a conspiracy between 2001 to 2011, at least in 6 7 Count I. And by the Government's own --8 MS. GEDDES: That's correct, Judge. MR. SCRIBNIK: -- admission Mr. Russo does not 9 10 indeed become involved until March of 2010. 11 THE COURT: I was assuming that she meant he presided over the conspiracy from the time he allegedly took 12 13 the role of street boss in March of 2010. MS. GEDDES: That's correct, March of 2010. 14 15 MR. SCRIBNIK: I just want to make it clear, Judge, that the Court is aware that there are no allegations of 16 17 violence during that period of time during the conspiracy and 18 the indictment, so they characterize it as a violent 19 conspiracy when, in fact, they haven't made any allegations of 20 violence during the period of time they claim Mr. Russo 21 assumed the role of acting street boss. 22 THE COURT: I see. So your argument is that they've 23 stopped all activities since he took control? 24 MR. SCRIBNIK: No. I'm just saying they haven't 25 alleged any violent acts during the period of time they

Closing Argument 55 claimed he assumed the position of acting street boss. And I 1 2 think that's important to the Court's consideration with 3 respect to whether or not bail is appropriate here. MS. GEDDES: Your Honor --4 MR. SCRIBNIK: Where's the violence? There's no 5 violent -- there are no allegations of violence during this 6 7 period of time that they claim he assumed that position. 8 MS. GEDDES: Your Honor, if I could respond to that briefly there are actually numerous instances of violence 9 10 which are contained in the indictment. There are various acts 11 where the defendants were charged with using extortionate means to collect loans. Some of those acts are detailed in 12 13 the detention memorandum where individuals brandish knives in 14 order to collect money. There's another instance where 15 individuals used a baseball bat to assault an individual who 16 they perceived was interfering with a gambling operation of 17 the Colombo families. Those are just two instances of acts of 18 violence that the Colombo family committed between -- or 19 during the time that the defendant Andrew Russo was street boss of the family. There are other --20 THE COURT: Those all occurred after March of 2010. 21 22 MS. GEDDES: Yes, Judge. And they're charged as 23 racketeering acts in the indictment. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I was confused. Okay. 25 Thank you. All right.

Counsel, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes, Your Honor. Let's take a step back for one second and with the burden that the Government has to carry here is to show the Court by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Russo is a danger to the community. I assume we've seen their best evidence over the course of 400 recordings. We've seen they've cherry-picked the very best. Of course, I haven't seen or heard the entire trans -- tapes and I'd like to read the transcript, but I'm going to proceed under the assumption that they've gone back there and decided to pick the very best for the Court.

And what I have heard and what I've seen, number one, does not establish by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Russo is the street boss of the family. For starters -- whatever that term means -- for starters we note that the Government throws those labels around left and right. And I can proffer to the Court that not only have they alleged that this gentleman Gioeli was a street boss in Massachusetts, in a case in Massachusetts the Government claimed that a gentleman named Ralph DeLeo was the street boss at one point. And, of course, we heard from the agent that the Government alleged that Tommy Gioeli was the acting boss in the indictment.

So it seems that the Government when it is convenient for the Government will throw around terms like "acting boss" and "street boss" and use them interchangeably.

When I asked the agent about who is the head of the administration of the other families I assume an agent of 14 years with experience would be able to tell me who the so-called bosses are of the other families and he can't even tell me which -- what that tells me, Judge, is that they just use those terms as is convenient. One is a street boss now, the other is a street boss then and you can't give any credit to it especially since we know that there's a history of --

THE COURT: Counsel, I don't care about the terms.

I've heard the testimony regarding what the cooperating witnesses say about your client and what he has done in connection with presiding over meetings involving other captains. If you want to make another argument, I understand what you're saying. I'm not buying it with respect to the Government messing up the terms. Try me on something else.

MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes, Your Honor. The case <u>United</u>

<u>States v. Cirillo</u>, which is the Second Circuit case at 312

F.3d 535, the Second Circuit declined -- specifically declined to embrace the idea that somebody in the position of boss is, per se dangerous or per se detainable. More is required and I repeat to the Court in Cirillo says:

"In any event to the extent that the District Court's characterization of our pretrial detention precedence as creating a per se rule with respect to people in Gotti's alleged position was not gratuitous dicta. We declined to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

detention."

58

embrace it. Each of our precedence relied on by the magistrate judge in the District Court in ordering that Gotti be detained pending trial without bail include a fact intensive analysis that the quality and quantity of the evidence produced at the bail hearing in determining the defendant's role in the violent RICO enterprise alleged and whether the acts committed by the enterprise combined with his leadership role in the enterprise justified pretrial

We know this man is 76 years old. They've looked at him for many, many years. Not a single act in his history of violence towards anyone, not any evidence of weapon, not any evidence of direct threats, not any evidence of instructing people to harm anyone, none. And so while in some cases the Court might be able to draw an inference -- an inference from somebody's role in an enterprise that the person embraces violence or engages in violence, that's not a reasonable inference to draw in this case. There's simply no evidence in Mr. Russo's past that he is somebody who poses a danger of harm to anybody else. So regardless of the labels that the Government chooses to put on him we would submit that that label in and of itself cannot under Second Circuit law carry the day for the Government and does not.

Now, I did proffer to the Court all of the people who are here who -- and the Court has read the letters.

## Closing Argument 59 are people who obviously support Mr. Russo and believe he is 1 2 not a danger to the community. I think the overwhelming 3 support that Your Honor sees in this courtroom is testament to the fact that he is not a violent person. He's not a person 4 who embraces harm toward others. 5 I do want to submit for the record a list of people 6 7 who have actually proposed to put up -- you know, to act as 8 sureties for any bail that the Court would set. And there's some handwritten -- these people -- I'll present a clean copy 9 10 to the Court, but there are one, two, three -- 11 individuals 11 or couples who have agreed to post or act as sureties for Mr. 12 Russo and there are varying amounts and degrees of equity in 13 their various properties. 14 THE COURT: Are you going to put that on the record 15 or --16 MR. SCRIBNIK: Well, I was going to actually hand it 17 up to the Court and mark it as an exhibit a list for the 18 Court. I think we're at Exhibit Number 3. 19 (Exhibit Number 3 marked for identification.) 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. SCRIBNIK: As the Court knows there were 22 individuals who were here ready to speak on Mr. Russo's behalf 23 and if I could ask at least one of them to come address the 24 Court. 25 THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead.

```
60
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Ask him to describe his relationship,
1
2
   how he knows Mr. Russo.
             UNKNOWN SPEAKER: This is a friend of my parents.
 3
   My parents are deaf. He's been friends with my parents and my
 4
   uncle who is deaf and him as a little boy. And he learned
 5
 6
   sign language to help my father get a job and my uncle. And
7
   he started learning sign language. And all through the years
 8
   he's always helped so many deaf people. Medical reasons, they
   have tax issues. He helped them filling out papers. It's
9
10
   hard to describe. He's really a great man to me.
11
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Now, have you seen this with your own
12
    eyes?
13
             UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yeah. I grew up with this, yes.
14
   Um-hum.
            Yes.
15
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Have you actually presented people
16
    from Mr. Russo to help?
17
             UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yeah. There's some of them here.
18
    I brought them. Yeah. You mean to help --
19
             MR. SCRIBNIK: Well, to help fill out forms, for
20
    example.
                               Oh, yeah, yeah.
21
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER:
22
             MR. SCRIBNIK: To serve as an interpreter?
23
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER:
                               To serve a interpreter. Yes, yes.
24
             MR. SCRIBNIK: And to try to get them jobs?
25
             UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. We even used to go --
```

2

3

```
61
   he even went to the Social Security office with my uncle and
   help my uncle out because he didn't understand anything they
   were saying to him and they didn't provide interpreters back
    then and he acted as an interpreter and helped them so he
 5
    could get money to live and eat.
 6
              MR. SCRIBNIK: So he actually went -- Mr. Russo went
7
    into the Social Security office --
 8
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes.
              MR. SCRIBNIK: -- and helped people out?
 9
10
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes, yes.
11
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Nothing further, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Could you thank him for me?
13
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER:
                                Thank you.
14
              THE COURT: And I appreciate the fact that you came
15
    down here today along with all of your friends and relatives
    who are here to present your side to the Court. I take the
16
17
    community support very seriously in considering these things
18
    and I certainly respect your position.
19
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Thank you, thank you for many,
20
    many, many deaf people have -- he has supported a lot of deaf
21
    people that just weren't able to be here. He has supported a
22
    lot of deaf people and we all love him very much.
23
              THE COURT: I appreciate that. Thank you.
24
              UNKNOWN SPEAKER:
                                Thank you.
25
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Judge, I just want to point out to
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

62 the Court that with respect to the names of the proposed sureties combined they probably make in excess of a million dollars a year W-2 income. You have dentists, nurses, paralegals, teachers, people who work for networks. These are friends and family members of Mr. Russo who understand that if he were to violate the conditions of his release that they would be responsible for whatever bail Your Honor set. Notwithstanding all the audio tapes and the testimony, the hearsay testimony of the agent who testified, I didn't hear any allegation of violence that Mr. Russo was a dangerous man. There was some testimony that related to an allegation that he said that members of the Colombo family should have retaliated rather than talk about money. Judge, I listened to that audio recording and that's a gross mischaracterization of what the conversation consisted In fact, it's belied -- okay. Then that would explain why it was a mischaracterization. The Government is telling me that I didn't hear that tape. I did, though, read the indictment and the allegations in the indictment make clear that Mr. Russo was involved in seeking to get medical bills paid. I don't -- I didn't see any verbatim -- and I would think that they would include that because they did in other parts include verbatim language by the various defendants. I didn't see any language consistent with what this agent testified to. And I would think that if Mr. Russo, in fact,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

63 made statements and made certain directions to people that that would have been contained in the indictment or that the tape more importantly would have been played to the Court. And that testimony was the only testimony that Your Honor heard that attributed any type of violent conduct to Mr. Russo. Aside from that they have conspiracies that are alleged. No actual violence or any directive on the part of Mr. Russo for others to engage in violence. And the agent testified that Tommy Gioeli was the acting street boss, just like he testified Mr. Russo now is the street boss. He said his reign ended when he was arrested. If that's true, Mr. Russo stands before the Court not a boss of anything. Maybe the boss of his own family members that are sitting here in court, but if the agent's testimony is credited he stands before the Court not a boss of anything and the Court shouldn't be able to use that as a per se basis to detain him and deny him bail. He should be treated like any other defendant and the Court should take a look at the actual allegations in the indictment. And I think in this instance it's appropriate to set some type of reasonable bail with reasonable restrictions that ensure he's monitored while this case goes forward. MR. GALGANO: And that would include, Your Honor, electronic monitoring, remain at his home. Certainly there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

64 are ways now of ensuring that a defendant is confined to his home pending trial and we would suggest that the Court propose any such conditions that are available under the circumstances of this case. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Geddes, did you have something you wanted to add? You look like you were going to say something [inaudible] --MS. GEDDES: No, Your Honor. I would only state that in the detention memo we actually do quote the defendant with respect to that meeting where he observed that they should have "gotten even" and then initiated discussions with the Gambino family only --MR. SCRIBNIK: But that's much different from what that agent testified to that he gave a directive for individuals to go out and retaliate and that he actually directed violence. Even if that's true, even if you accept that, that's Mr. Russo saying, hey, this is what you should have done if it's true and I haven't listened to it and the quality of the evidence the Court has to consider. We didn't hear the tape. We heard an agent talk about the tape and we didn't get to listen to the tape. But even if that's true that's much different from what the agent testified to. Just seems to me unfair to detain the man pending a trial that's going to last as long as this trial is going to last given the

limited involvement the Government alleges as to him when you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

65 have 11 people who are willing to essentially post their lives on him coming back to court and not violating the conditions of his release. THE COURT: Anything, Ms. Geddes? MS. GEDDES: Your Honor, just briefly. The Second Circuit has made clear that home detention, electronic monitoring, elaborate bail packages are not sufficient to protect the community from individuals such as the defendant who are leaders of violent criminal organizations. And I do just want to make clear for the record that the Government's argument is not that merely because the defendant served as a street boss he should be detained. The Government's argument is that the defendant served the street boss of a violent criminal organization that was committing violence and that he presided over and had very close contact with the individuals who were committing this violence and based on that is why the defendant should be detained. THE COURT: All right. Well, before I render my decision here I will -- I just want to put on the record for purposes of appeal should there be one that the Exhibit Number 3 that defendants have proffered contains potential sureters including nieces, nephews, cousins, sisters, friends, grandsons, sister-in-laws, all of whom look as though they've proffered up property I'm assuming. That's what this is in

```
66
    the value column, right?
1
 2
             MR. SCRIBNIK: That's correct, Judge. It's all real
 3
   property.
              THE COURT: Okay. With amounts resulting in an
 4
 5
    equity of 4.8 million dollars. Is that accurate? That's the
 6
    proffered security at this point.
 7
              MR. SCRIBNIK: That's correct, Judge.
 8
              MR. GALGANO: That's the estimate.
9
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. Well,
10
    we've had a lot of conversation here about what the law is.
11
    Obviously the issue here is not whether or not Mr. Russo has
    personally committed violence. The Government is not raising
12
13
    that as its argument in moving for detention on grounds of
14
    dangerousness. Instead, the Government has proffered evidence
15
    that frankly has not been controverted at this point, that Mr.
    Russo is or was the street boss of the Colombo crime family
16
17
    during the period from March of 2010 until I assume his arrest
18
    and that he poses a serious danger to the community in his
19
    role as street boss presiding over high ranking members of the
20
    organization ordering and directing their activities, which is
21
    information based on the agent's testimony coming from not
22
    only the numerous recordings that we've discussed and
23
    surveillance by the FBI but also information from confidential
24
    informants -- several confidential informants who according to
25
    the testimony have each provided corroborating evidence that
```

the defendant is, in fact, or has been since March the street boss responsible for directing the Colombo crime family while the actual bosses are in custody.

We also have evidence proffered by the Government that during this period of time members of the racketeering enterprise engaged in violent criminal activities including among other things extortion which by its very nature is considered to be an act of violence under the bail or format and that threats of force and intimidation are used to collect monies and force others to engage in acts in response to those threats. I think that's actually something that was noted in the <u>United States v. Defitti</u> [ph.] case which counsel, I believe, you brought to the Court's attention earlier this morning.

I have considered all of these things. I find by clear and convincing evidence that the Government has established for purposes of bail that there is a serious danger to the community based on the defendant's role. It is not a per se finding that I am making. It is a finding based upon the testimony of the agent and the activities of the enterprise as defined by the agent and also as described in the indictment.

And while I understand that there is contrary evidence to suggest that Mr. Russo has provided assistance and aided members of the community which obviously is a laudable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

68 thing, that does not rebut or counteract the concern that the Court has about releasing him at this time. As the Government noted, the Second Circuit has previously held in United States v. Scottie [Ph.] and United States v. Erena [Ph.] that stringent conditions of release for people such as Mr. Russo are not sufficient when we have a finding of danger to the community. So based on all that I'm going to order the defendant be detained pending trial. Obviously, Counsel, you are free to take this up with the miscellaneous judge -- or I guess the judge on the case, Judge Townes. I don't know when she's able to see you, but I would certainly suggest that you try to get in touch with her as soon as possible if you wish. MR. SCRIBNIK: Yes, Your Honor. May I make one request and that is -- certainly since we expect to receive transcripts or at least the recordings themselves within the week, we're obviously going to go through them as quickly as possible. What we heard today was a summary of that. We waited several hours and we ended up not hearing the tapes themselves. We just heard a summary we could have heard earlier in the agent's interpretation. Upon reviewing the actual transcripts themselves, we may seek to revisit the idea or the issue of detention before

Your Honor based on new information. I just want to at least

put on the record that in our view the tapes and transcripts

```
69
    would constitute new information because we did not have them
1
2
    available to us today.
              THE COURT: Well, Counsel, you're always free to
3
    come back to me if you wish or take it up with Judge Townes.
4
 5
              MR. GALGANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
              MR. SCRIBNIK: Judge, thank you.
7
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
8
              (Proceedings concluded at 5:17 p.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a court transcript from an electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Road metag Ruth Ann Hager Dated: January 28, 2011